27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

•		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	ODDED SETTING SHANGE OF DIEA
5	Plaintiff,	ORDER SETTING CHANGE OF PLEA HEARINGS
6	V.	Case No. CR 11-424 PJH
7	BRIAN MCKINZIE,	0836 NO. OK 11-424 1 311
8	Defendant.	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
11	Plaintiff,	Case No. CR 11-426 PJH
12	V.	
13	THOMAS FRANCOISE,	
14	Defendant.	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
16	Plaintiff,	Case No. CR 11-428 PJH
17	V.	
18	JORGE WONG,	
19	Defendant.	
20		
21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
22	Plaintiff,	Case No. CR 12-448 PJH
23	V.	
24	DOUGLAS DITMER,	
25	Defendant.	
26		

28

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
2	Plaintiff,	Case No. CR 12-611 PJH
3	v.	
4	DANLI LIU,	
5	Defendant.	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. CR 13-143 PJH
9	Plaintiff,	
10	V.	
11	MICHAEL RENQUIST,  Defendant.	
12	Derendant.	
13		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
15	Plaintiff,	Case No. CR 14-581 PJH
16	V.	
17	JOHN SHIELLS and MIGUEL DE SANZ,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
21	Plaintiff,	Case No. CR 15-229 PJH
22	V.	
23	BRADLEY ROEMER,	
24	Defendant.	
25		
26		

The status report filed by the government indicates, as it previously stated at the September 21, 2016 status hearing, that the nine defendants charged in the above-

captioned cases should be the first to appear for Rule 11 hearings on new plea agreements because the government expects to call them at trial in *United States v. Florida*, No. CR 14-582 PJH. The government neglects to propose a streamlined procedure for taking the revised pleas or other suggestions for expediting the Rule 11 hearings, which the court anticipated when setting the filing deadline for the status report based on the representations of counsel for the government. Rather, the government states that it "hopes to request the first Rule 11 hearings for a subset of these defendants as soon as the end of the week of October 3, 2016." Doc. no. 89. The government's statement fails to appreciate that the court must rule on pretrial matters in *Florida* at the pretrial conference set for October 12, 2016, which includes defendants' objections to coconspirator statements and requests for disclosures, and the court is at a loss as to how it can rule on the pretrial issues presented in *Florida* before the nine testifying defendants have entered a revised plea.

Accordingly, the court specially sets the change of plea hearings in the above-captioned cases on **Friday**, **October 7**, **2016**, **at 9:00 a.m.** Any party that wishes to advance the change of plea hearing to October 5, 2016, at 1:30 p.m., may do so by filing a stipulated request to advance the change of plea hearing.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 30, 2016

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge